

National Institute for Public Health and the Environment Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport



Arjan van Dijk, Michiel de Bode, Astrid Kloosterman, Marte van der Linden





Challenges in modelling dispersion and dose of fallout from nuclear detonation

> Radionuclide composition

- initial composition
- decay and ingrowth of progeny
- dose calculation for a large number of radionuclides

> Stabilized cloud characteristics

- geometry based on yield, height of burst, etc.
- particle size distribution
- distribution of radioactivity
- > Dispersion over large distance and large height
 - dispersion above mixing layer
 - availability of meteorological data for emergency response





 $A_i(t) = \sum_j H_{ij}(t) \cdot A_j(0)$, with $H_{ij}(t)$ the components of matrix-exponential $H(t) = e^{Mt}$

- Matrix-exponential solved numerically (once) using 3821 radionuclides from Evaluated Nuclear Data File (ENDF) from IAEA (Brown et al., 2018).
- > Human life in 1-minute steps: 42 million pinpoints... \rightarrow not feasible...
- > However, eigen values of matrix exponential $H(t) = e^{Mt}$ are decaying: this allows for using exponentially growing timesteps.
- → shortest delay: 60 sec, growth factor of $1.15 \rightarrow 2.6$ million year assessment using only 200 timesteps!



Example 1: daughters of 1 Bq ²³⁸U



$$A_i(t) = \sum_j H_{ij}(t) \cdot A_j(0)$$

(The only element in $A_j(0)$ that is non-zero is ²³⁸U: 1 Bq.)

 $T_{1/2}^{238_U} = 1.41 \cdot 10^{17} \text{ sec}$

A novel method to estimate the radiological effects of fallout from nuclear detonation - NERIS ERPW23

4



Example 2: core inventory of Borssele NPP after shutdown



A novel method to estimate the radiological effects of fallout from nuclear detonation - NERIS ERPW23



Dose calculation

E.g. external radiation in cloud:

$$D_{\text{ext,air}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t_1 \rightarrow t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sum_{i} DCC_{\text{ext,air},i} \rho_i(\boldsymbol{x}, \tau) \, \mathrm{d}\tau$$

Sv h⁻¹ Bq⁻¹ m³

Common method: reduction of considered radionuclides:

- requires prior assessment of the source term for each pathway;
- is always an approximation of the dose;
- > is computationally expensive: for a better approximation of dose, more nuclides should be considered;
- > is prone to error; e.g. when considering another exposure interval, it's easy to forget to perform a new nuclide reduction assessment.



Dose conversion factors (DCCs) from:

<u>Inhalation:</u> ICRP Publication 119 (2012) Kawai et al. (2002) <u>External radiation:</u> EDC-Viewer, conform ICRP Publication 144 (ICRP, 2020)

Dose calculation revisited

$$D_{\text{ext,air}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t_1 \to t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sum_i DCC_{\text{ext,air},i} \rho_i(\boldsymbol{x}, \tau) \, \mathrm{d}\tau$$

$$DCC_{\text{air,cocktail}}(t) = \sum_{i}^{T} DCC_{\text{air,}i} \sum_{j} H_{ij}(t)A_{j}(0)$$

Radioactivity 1. has same origin in time 2. does not `unmix'

$$D_{\text{ext,air}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t_1 \to t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} DCC_{\text{ext,air,cocktail}}(\tau) T_{\text{air}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \tau) \, \mathrm{d}\tau \,,$$
$$T_{\text{air}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \tau) = \rho_{\text{passive}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \tau) / A_{\text{passive}}(0)$$

- Dose contribution of *all* nuclides included in a single `cocktail DCC',
- including effects of decay and ingrowth of nuclide `cocktail' A_j(0).
- The cocktail-DCC is thus timedependent → can be pre-calculated and saved in look-up tables.

The dispersion calculation is reduced to a *single* non-decaying tracer to determine `thinning coefficients'. $T_{air}(x,\tau)$ and $T_{ground}(x,\tau)$.



Application to nuclear detonation source term

- Initial nuclide composition: > uranium weapon $A_i(0)$: 69 radionuclides, t=2.5minutes after detonation (Kraus & Foster, 2014).
- The figure shows the > contribution to the external dose rate in air of head-of-chains including progeny.





Application to nuclear detonation source term

- Initial nuclide composition: uranium weapon A_j(0): 69 radionuclides, t=2.5 minutes after detonation (Kraus & Foster, 2014).
- The figure shows the total dose rate over time compared to rule-of-thumb by Glasstone & Dolan (1977).





Application to nuclear detonation source term

- Effect of ingrowth of > progeny vs. clean decay.
- Effect of nuclide selection > based on exposure interval.





Application in tool IRIS

- > 100 kT yield uranium weapon, source term Kraus & Foster (2014) (69 initial nuclides).
- > Dispersion model NPK-Puff, 48h prognosis.
- Meteorology: ECMWF-HRES, resolution 0.1°, 15 levels up to 11.5 km height.
- > Instantaneous plume: 1491 puffs.
- > Particle size distribution:

	Interpretation of Baker (1987)		
Particle radius	Median radius	Surface burst	Air burst
(in	μm)	fraction of total	activity (in %)
< 0.1	0.05	0.44	1.63
0.1-1	0.55	22.47	76.05
1-5	3	20.11	9.30
5-10	7.5	12.63	0.02
10-50	30	23.96	0
> 50	100	7.38	0
Gaseous	-	13	13
	Total	100.00	100.00

IRIS 200 height of burst [m] 10 yield [kt TNT eq.] 2.5 °, 1.01 source location (lat, lon) type of explosive Uranium 2023-03-01 at 13+ hours and 0+ minutes time of explosion (start of run) [UTC] \sim 72 duration of prognosis [h] runs calculation with ... puffservice (on remote server) run computation only generate files for computation (do not run) Creating directory with input for local PUFF run: '\\\\172.19.112.10\\home\\riv m\\ProjectData\' Submit to puffservice? Stored a copy of \\\172.19.112. 10\\home\\rivm\\E service.json'. Are you sure you want to submit the computation to the puffservice?

OK

Cancel

Vertical distribution of radioactivity:







Outlook: gathering statistics



A novel method to estimate the radiological effects of fallout from nuclear detonation - NERIS ERPW23

13



Conclusions (1/2)

- > We have developed a fast method to compute decay and progeny for *all* 3821 radionuclides.
- > We introduce the concept of a precalculated 'cocktail-DCC' for each exposure pathway that only requires multiplication with thinning factors (computed by a dispersion model) to compute the dose rate.
- Complete dose assessment is now possible, because it is not needed anymore to preselect a limited number of radionuclides for specific exposure intervals.
- The method is computationally much more efficient than traditional dispersion+dose assessment method.
- It is easily extendable with additional cocktail-DCC(s) and tracer(s) for e.g. nuclide group(s) with different dispersion characteristics and/or different reference time(s).



Conclusions (2/2)

- Nuclides that contribute less to dose are *also* included in modelling result: useful for measurement campaigns and detection of nuclear weapon tests.
- The method can be applied to any type of release as long as 1) no-unmixing principle holds and 2) released radioactivity has the same reference time. (e.g. instantaneous release from a reactor, or (dis)continuous release from reactor after shutdown).



Thank you for your attention!

jasper.tomas@rivm.nl



References

- > Brown et al., ENDF/B-VIII.0: The 8th major release of the nuclear reaction data library with CIELO-project cross sections, new standards and thermal scattering data, Nucl. Data Sheets, 2018, 148.
- ICRP, Compendium of Dose Coecients based on ICRP Publication 60, ICRP Publication 119, 2012, Ann. ICRP 41(Suppl.),.
- > ICRP, Dose coefficients for external exposures to environmental sources, ICRP Publication 144,2020, Ann. ICRP,
- K. Kawai, A. Endo and H. Noguchi, Dose coecients for radionuclides produced in high energy proton accelerator facilities: coefficients for radionuclides not listed in ICRP publications, Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, 2002, JAERI-Data/Code 2002/013,.
- > T. Kraus and K. Foster, Analysis of ssion and activation radionuclides produced by a uraniumfueled nuclear detonation and identication of the top dose-producing radionuclides., Health Phys., 2014, 107, 150-163.
- > S. Glasstone and P. Dolan, The effects of nuclear weapons, 3rd edn, 1977, p. 653.
- > T. Harvey, F. Serduke, L. Edwards and L.Peters, KDFOC3: A Nuclear Fallout Assessment Capability, 1992, 74.
- > G. Baker, Implications of atmospheric test fallout data for nuclear winter, 1987.
- > J. Heffter, ARL Fallout Prediction Technique, 1969.



Nuclear detonations per year limited test ban treaty last atmospheric test а USA b Total atmospheric **USSR/Russia** Total underground UK 100 100 France China Nuclear detonations per year Nuclear detonations per year India Pakistan North Korea Total 1970 1980 1990 2020 1950 1960 1970 1980 1990 2000 2010 2020 1950 1960 2000 2010 Time Time Nuclear detonations in the course of time. Left: per country (data from Kimball (2022))

and right: atmospheric and underground (data from Reuters (2017))



Surface burst when: $HOB < 180*W^{0.4}$ HOB in [feet] W in [kT TNT-eq]

Yield [kT TNT]	Surface burst when HOB < [m]
10	138
20	182
30	213
50	262
75	309
100	346

"Height of burst"

'(Near) surface burst' 'Free Air burst'

Activation products

Fission products ٠

٠

٠

- Fission products •
 - Few/no activation products
 - Smaller particles

