



Public Health
England

The impact of different types of atmospheric dispersion model (ADM) on the extent of estimated countermeasures

Peter Bedwell & Hannah Gaskell

Centre for Radiation, Chemicals and Environmental Hazards

Public Health England



Setting the scene... models & methods

PACE - Run NAME III [Ver: 2.3 Config: user SVN: 1927]

Geodatabase Source term Met sampling Scaling factors

NAME input

Met data type: NWP_MES Release duration: 1 hour(s) Release location: 302565 503988 [Select location]

Met definition: UM5M # of particles/hr: 1000 Release height: 10.0 [Centre on grid]


Cyclic sampling

Met folder: C:\tom.charnock\NAME_NWP_DATA

Met data start: 01/01/2008 12:00:00 AM nt (T-Grids): 24 hour(s)

Met data end: 31/03/2008 11:00:00 PM Cycle length: 69 hour(s)

Start of release: 01/01/2008 12:00:00 AM # of cycles: 30



Scenarios:

- 3 source terms
- 4 coastal sites
- 3 years of met data (cyclic sampling)




Aim of the study


Endpoints estimated:

- Maximum number of people & geographical area affected by the implementation of evacuation, sheltering, stable iodine prophylaxis countermeasures for each met sequence
- A statistical analysis of the results across all 188 meteorological sequences, determining the mean, maximum, 50th and 95th percentiles for each scenario

Aim of the study:

- To identify if the consideration of different types of ADM is likely to impact on the extent of the estimated countermeasures







Reasons for the results observed

- Adept's narrower plume & tendency for concentrations to decrease more rapidly with distance
- Adept assumes constant met, NAME assumes variable met (amplified for a protracted release duration)
- NAME applies a box averaging approach and thus estimates are averaged over a volume (rather than at a specific point)
- Close proximity of the estimated model endpoint (from the release location) and the wind direction are key factors when using Adept
- The prevailing wind direction & site location can be significant
- Demographics are non-uniform and therefore estimates of numbers of people (affected by the implementation of countermeasures) can be associated with significant step changes



Conclusions

- In the majority of scenarios the type of ADM does not significantly impact on countermeasures extents
- However for a small but significant percentage of scenarios the consideration of different types of ADM does significantly impact on countermeasure extents
- Neither ADM approach is found to be consistently conservative
- The recommendation would be to utilise a more representative modelling approach & data where possible (& where time permits)
- However, for 95th percentile endpoints no differences of x10 (or more) in countermeasure extents were identified for any of the scenarios. It would be of value to explore this further in an effort to identify if this is a universal trend or specific to this study